

Hertfordshire, UK

sophie.borland@googlemail.com Cite this as: *BMJ* 2024;386:q1909 http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.q1909

BMJ INVESTIGATION

UK government's nutrition advisers are paid by world's largest food companies, BMJ analysis reveals

Campaigners say these conflicts of interest are detrimental to public health, but defenders say they reflect the lack of funding in nutrition research. **Sophie Borland** reports

Sophie Borland freelance journalist

More than half of the experts on the UK government's advisory panel on nutrition have links to the food industry, a BMJ analysis has found. At least 11 of the 17 members of the Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN) have conflicts of interest with the likes of Nestlé, sugar manufacturer Tate and Lyle, and the world's largest ice cream producer, Unilever.

SACN is a powerful group of people appointed as independent experts that provides advice to the government—which in turn influences policy. Since its establishment in 2000 it has produced high profile guidelines on daily salt and sugar intake, vitamin D supplements, and feeding babies.

But there is concern that both SACN and the previous governments reviewing its recommendations have not done enough to curb rising rates of obesity and food related ill health. Currently, 28.3% of women and 26.9% of men in the UK are obese, up from 13.8% and 10.7%, respectively, three decades ago. Deaths from premature heart disease in England are at their highest in 14 years, and diabetes cases in the UK are at record levels.

Campaigners say that these conflicts of interests at the heart of policy making are detrimental to public health. Others say that they reflect the lack of funding for nutrition research and that removing experts with industry links from SACN would "diminish" its expertise.

Money changes minds

The BMJ looked at the interests declared by members of SACN—in publicly available documents published on the government website—in the past three years.⁴

Among its members is David Mela, a retired senior scientist from Unilever, who has done consultancy work for the firm that earned him over £5000 last year. He also has shares in Unilever worth over £5000. He has done consultancy work for Tate and Lyle, Coca-Cola's Israel franchise CBC Israel, and Cargill, which produces cocoa and chocolate products among other things.

Another SACN member, Julie Lovegrove, is the chair of an expert group at the International Life Sciences Institute Europe, based in Brussels. Its member companies include PepsiCo, Cadbury's US owner Mondelez, and General Mills, the American firm behind Cheerios and Haagen Dazs.

Member Kevin Whelan has worked for Nestlé Health Science (owned by Nestlé), Danone, Alpro, Yakult, and the Dairy Council. He has received research grants from the International Nut and Dried Fruit Council and the Almond Board of California.

Gill Fine is a shareholder at Sainsburys, and Paul Haggarty is head of lifelong health at the University of Aberdeen's Rowett Institute, which receives funding from the red meat and dairy industries. "I have no role in the administration of external funding in this position, and I am not familiar with these particular projects, which may be carried out in themes other than lifelong health at Rowett," Haggarty told *The BMJ*. "My own research is not funded by industry as a personal choice, and this is reflected in my declarations."

The Department for Health and Social Care responded on behalf of SACN and all members named in this article, saying that SACN members are required to confirm existing potential conflicts of interest annually and to declare new ones at the first appropriate committee meeting, which are included in the minutes and published on the SACN website.⁵

Chris van Tulleken, associate professor at University College London and the author of a bestselling book on ultraprocessed food, told *The BMJ*: "Even small financial conflicts affect behaviour and beliefs in subtle or unconscious ways—we have data from food and pharma research showing this. This means that declaring conflicts doesn't mitigate them.

"Conflicts in SACN damage the reputation and credibility of the committee. How can someone who claims to have an interest in public health have any links to companies like Coca-Cola or Unilever? We have known for decades about the harm caused by the products that companies like these make. Some companies make slightly less harmful products, but all are controlled and constrained by the same financial incentives, which mean they can't self-regulate."

Undermining public health

Six members of SACN are members of the American Society for Nutrition, which is funded by Mars, Mondelez, Nestlé, PepsiCo, and the Sugar Association, among others. These include Whelan, Mela, and Lovegrove; the other three are Sian Robinson, Susan Fairweather-Tait, and Mairead Kiely. Others have financial links to Danone, the infant formula manufacturer Mead Johnson Nutrition, and General Mills (Lucilla Poston, Ken Ong, and Susan Lanham-New, respectively).

Rob Percival, head of policy at the Soil Association, a charity aiming to transform the way we eat, farm, and care for the natural world, told *The BMJ*: "We're concerned that the committee and its integrity might be undermined by those ties to the food industry. That's not to say that individual scientists have been corrupted; the challenge is systemic. There's now really good evidence that conflicts of interest at the interface of science and policy can skew either specific policies or public narratives in favour of the food industry in ways which undermine public health."

SACN's current work includes reviewing the evidence over ultraprocessed foods, artificial sweeteners, and plant based food and drink. Last July the committee issued a statement on ultraprocessed foods that warned that increased consumption was "associated with increased risks of adverse health outcomes," adding that there were "uncertainties around the quality of evidence available."

Experts including van Tulleken and Percival say that SACN did not do enough to present the case for tougher regulation on ultraprocessed foods. The UK is behind countries in Latin America that have introduced warning labels on products high in sugar, salt, and fat.⁸ 9

A spokesperson for the Department of Health and Social Care told *The BMJ*: "No members of the committee are directly employed by the food and drink industry, and all have a duty to act in the public interest and to be independent and impartial."

Lack of research funding

Katharine Jenner, director of the Obesity Health Alliance, a coalition of over 50 organisations, told *The BMJ* that SACN members' ties to the food industry are partly a result of the lack of money in relevant research. "Nutrition funding is notoriously underfunded. So many of the studies are industry funded as the people who have got a particular interest are the research and development teams of the companies. It really is a very poorly funded world, and that just invites more industry funding."

Alison Tedstone, former chief nutritionist at Public Health England, which was replaced by the Office for Health Improvement and Disparities, adds that several experts on SACN are in receipt of research grants from the food industry and that this practice is "expected" by a key national funding body. She says that in her experience applications for nutrition research to the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) are more likely to be successful if there is partnership funding from food businesses. That can range from supplying products to be used in studies to funding part of the study.

A BBSRC spokesperson told *The BMJ* that, although "collaborations with industry and other stakeholders are encouraged, it is not expected." They point to organisational guidance for researchers, which the spokesperson says includes managing and making explicit any conflicts of interest. They say, "The BBSRC encourages the researchers it funds to build strategic partnerships with industry and broader stakeholders, such as government and charities, to accelerate the translation of research into public benefit."

Excluding conflicted members

The BMJ's findings come amid growing awareness over the importance of conflicts of interest in the food industry. Last year researchers from the Centre for Food Policy at City, University of London, and the Science Policy Research Unit, University of Sussex Business School, warned that conflicts of interest in food regulation were putting public health at risk. ¹⁰*The BMJ* has previously reported

on conflicts of interest at the Science Media Centre—an organisation that facilitates health and science reporting—which has received money from Nestlé, Coca-Cola, and Tate and Lyle. ¹¹

Experts tell *The BMJ* that the composition of SACN needs to be reviewed, in light of members' ties to the food industry. Percival says that we should "learn from the precedent set internationally" by organisations such as the World Health Organization (WHO), where members with conflicts of interest are excluded from key decisions.

The Department of Health and Social Care told *The BMJ* that, when members have a direct interest on a specific topic or issue, it is handled under the SACN code of practice¹² and that those members "may be" excluded from the discussion. SACN membership includes those with technical industry expertise "to ensure a broad range of skills, expertise, and experience are available during discussions," it adds. "SACN's conclusions reflect the considerations of the whole of SACN and are not influenced by any individual members of the committee."

Van Tulleken says that SACN should ensure that five years from now there are no members with recent industry ties. He says that any "industry representative" should be removed immediately. "The first, necessary—but absolutely insufficient—step to getting control of the UK's epidemic of diet related disease is to deconflict SACN. That is the most important thing that must happen. It will send a clear message that there is an intention to regulate the food industry. This is what happened with tobacco, and it must happen with food."

Tedstone, however, who now works on the WHO Nutrition Guidance Expert Advisory Group subgroup on policy actions—and also advises them on obesity related projects—as well as the Obesity Health Alliance, says that refusing to allow experts with industry ties on SACN would "diminish" its expertise.

"WHO has made a decision to take nobody who takes any research money from industry, and that has left them in a position where they have people who are not research active. It's harder to get people who are thinking about the subject, actively researching about the subject."

Tedstone, who was chief nutritionist at Public Health England at the time SACN was making recommendations on daily sugar intake in 2015, adds: "I've never seen any conflicts within SACN. One of my big worries about SACN is that somebody... [might] think they've solved the nation's nutrition problems by deleting SACN and all that did was delay future legislation. It's important but there are more important conflicts that go on in the food chain and policy."

Former health secretary Victoria Atkins is married to former managing director of British Sugar, Paul Kenward; these two posts coincided. The Conservative government was also criticised for failing to release lobbying letters from food and advertising companies ahead of proposed advertising restrictions on unhealthy products. ¹³ On SACN, Tedstone adds: "This is tricky, and there are these interests—but where's the evidence of bias?"

Van Tulleken insists: "Despite two decades of work from a conflicted SACN there has been an explosion of suffering and death from diet related disease in the UK, so I don't think it's credible to claim that the committee has been very effective. There are some excellent independent experts, but they are a minority, and in my view their work has been hampered by conflicts of interest with the industry that has created this health crisis. SACN must become independent of the food industry."

Formula milk and baby food links

The BMJ's analysis has found that at least six of the 11 members in SACN's subgroup on maternal and child nutrition have ties to food companies, including baby food manufacturers and formula milk brands.

Ann Prentice is a council member of the Nestlé Foundation, an organisation founded from a donation from Nestlé to support research in lower income countries, and Marion Hetherington has undertaken work for Danone and Ella's Kitchen, the latter on an unpaid basis. The group's chair, Ken Ong, has received research funding worth over £5000 a year from Mead Johnston Nutrition, which makes formula milk.

Last July the subgroup produced guidelines on feeding young children aged 1 to 5 years. Some experts think that it held back on recommending the benefits of home cooked food over ready made baby food and on spelling out that "growing up" formula milk was entirely unnecessary.

Nestlé and Danone are two of the world's largest infant milk providers, and Ella's Kitchen is the most popular baby food brand in the UK. ¹⁴ "It raises the question—if you're working for and supporting a commercial baby food company, and then you're also sitting on a committee that's making public health recommendations—how can you be expected to give an independent view around how babies should be fed?" asks Vicky Sibson, director of First Steps Nutrition Trust, a public health nutrition charity.

"I also think certain conflicts should be red lined—so we should not have anyone who works with the formula industry sitting on a subcommittee of SACN and making recommendations for how you feed babies," she says. "That should be a red line. I think it should be the same for commercial baby foods. This is a very important committee; it's very influential."

The Department of Health and Social Care responded on behalf of SACN and all members named in this article. A spokesperson says: "No members of the committee are directly employed by the food and drink industry, and all have a duty to act in the public interest and to be independent and impartial."

SACN members with declared conflicts of interest

David Mela, retired

- Consultancy and shares for Unilever (consultancy worth over £5000 a year, shares worth over £5000)
- Consultancy for Tate and Lyle (income over £5000 a year)
- Consultancy for Cargill (produces chocolate and cocoa products among other products)
- Consultancy for Coca-Cola's Israel franchise CBC Israel
- Member of American Society for Nutrition, which is funded by, among others, Mars, Mondelez, Nestlé, PepsiCo, and Unilever

Julie Lovegrove, professor of human nutrition, University of Reading

- Chair and member of International Life Sciences Institute (member companies include PepsiCo, Mondelez and General Mills) Europe's qualitative fat intake expert group
- Research funding from Dutch Dairy Council and Danish Dairy Foundation (both worth over £5000 a year)
- Member of American Society for Nutrition

Kevin Whelan, professor of dietetics, head of the Department of Nutritional Sciences, King's College London

- Research grant from Danone (worth over £5000 a year)
- Research grant from Almond Board of California (worth over £5000 a vear)
- Lecture and podcast recording with Alpro
- Lecture for Nestlé Health Sciences
- Chaired annual meeting for Dairy Council

- Research grant from Almond Board of California (worth over £5000 a vear)
- Research grant from International Nut and Dried Fruit Council (worth over £5000 a year)
- Presentation for Yakult
- Member of American Society for Nutrition

Gill Fine, public health nutritionist

- Shareholder of Sainsbury's
- Consultancy work for Musgrave Group (owner of 15 food retail and wholesale brands)
- Former scientific governor and trustee of British Nutrition Foundation (whose members include British Sugar, Coca-Cola, Kellogg's, Mars, and McDonald's)

Paul Haggarty, head of lifelong health, Rowett Institute of Nutrition and Health, University of Aberdeen

 Rowett Institute's industry partners include DairyCo, Quality Meat Scotland, and Harbro, which provides animal feed to dairy and livestock farmers

Sian Robinson, professor of lifecourse and lifestyle, Newcastle University*

Member of American Society for Nutrition

Susan Fairweather-Tait, professor of human nutrition (mineral metabolism), Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia

Member and fellow of American Society for Nutrition

Mairead Kiely, professor in nutrition, School of Food and Nutritional Sciences, University College Cork*

- Member of American Society for Nutrition
- Research funding from Meat Technology Ireland (worth over £5000 a vear)

Susan Lanham-New, professor of human nutrition and head of the Nutritional Sciences Department, University of Surrey

Definition related work for General Mills

Ken Ong, MRC investigator and professor of paediatric epidemiology, MRC Epidemiology Unit and Department of Paediatrics, University of Cambridge*

Research funding from Mead Johnson Nutrition (worth over £5000 a vear)

Lucilla Poston, professor of maternal and fetal health, King's College London*

- Danone's Nutricia Research organisation sponsored her PhD student
- Research grant from Almond Board of California (worth over £5000 a year)

Subgroup on maternal and child nutrition

Ann Prentice, honorary senior visiting fellow, MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge

 Council member of Nestlé Foundation, (honoraria and travel expenses worth over £5000 a year)

Marion Hetherington, professor emerita in biopsychology, University of

- Provided a research summary for Ella's Kitchen (unpaid)
- Consultancy work for Danone
- Speaker at conference for Dairy Council Northern Ireland
- *Also a member of the subgroup on maternal and child nutrition

This feature has been funded by the BMJ Investigations Unit with support from Impact on Urban Health. For details see bmj.com/investigations. Got a story? Contact us: investigations@bmj.com

FEATURE

Competing interests: I have read and understood the BMJ Group policy on declaration of interests and have no relevant interests to declare.

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

- Taylor L. One in eight people globally are obese, with rates in children increasing fourfold in three decades. BMJ 2024;384:. doi: 10.1136/bmj.q527 pmid: 38428955
- Wise J. Early deaths from cardiovascular disease reach 14 year high in England. BMJ 2024;384:. doi: 10.1136/bmj.q176 pmid: 38253387
- Wise J. Diabetes cases in UK reach all time high, charity warns. BMJ 2023;381.. doi: 10.1136/bmj.p848 pmid: 37055069
- 4 Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition. SACN annual report 2021. https://assets.publish-ing.service.gov.uk/media/62166b3f8fa8f549069a658f/SACN_Annual_Report_2021.pdf
- 5 Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition: register of interests. Jul 2024. https://www.gov.uk/government/groups/scientific-advisory-committeeon-nutrition#register-of-interests
- 6 Office for Health Improvement and Disparities. SACN annual report 2023-summary. 29 Feb 2024. www.gov.uk/government/publications/sacn-annual-report-2023/sacn-annual-report-2023-summary
- 7 Office for Health Improvement and Disparities. SACN statement on processed foods and health-summary report. 11 Jul 2023. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/sacn-statementon-processed-foods-and-health/sacn-statement-on-processed-foods-and-health-summary-report
- 8 Popkin BM, Barquera S, Corvalan C, etal. Towards unified and impactful policies to reduce ultra-processed food consumption and promote healthier eating. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2021;9:-70. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(21)00078-4 pmid: 33865500
- 9 Nowell C. Latin America labels ultra-processed foods. Will the US follow? Guardian 2024 May 21. https://www.theguardian.com/environment/article/2024/may/21/latin-america-food-labels-processed-foods
- 10 City, University of London. Conflicts of interest in UK food regulation "puts public health at risk," argue experts. 17 Jan 2023. https://www.city.ac.uk/news-and-events/news/2023/01/conflicts-interest-uk-food-regulation-puts-public-health-risk-argue-experts
- 11 Coombes R. Row over ultra-processed foods panel highlights conflicts of interest issue at heart of UK science reporting. BMJ 2023;383.: doi: 10.1136/bmj.p2514 pmid: 37914181
- Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition. SACN code of practice. Aug 2023. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/64f0bb54a78c5f0010c6f3d3/SACN_Code_of_Practice_August_2023_.pdf
- 13 Greenwood G. Government "brainwashed" by fast-food lobbyists, ex-official says. Times 2023 Dec 10. https://www.thetimes.com/uk/article/government-brainwashed-by-fast-food-lobbyists-ex-official-says-mh0kb265j
- Statista. Brands of baby and junior foods ranked by number of consumers in Great Britain in 2022. 25 Jan 2024. https://www.statista.com/statistics/303901/leading-baby-and-junior-food-brands-in-the-uk