OPEN ACCESS

(Check for updates

For numbered affiliations see end of the article

Correspondence to: R Chen chenrq28@mail.sysu.edu.cn (ORCID 0000-0003-4911-3543) Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online.

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2024;384:e075630 http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmj-2023-075630

Accepted: 22 November 2023

Neurological development in children born moderately or late preterm: national cohort study

Ayoub Mitha,^{1,2,3} Ruoqing Chen,^{4,5} Neda Razaz,¹ Stefan Johansson,^{1,6,7} Olof Stephansson,¹ Maria Altman,^{1,8} Jenny Bolk^{1,6,7}

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE

To assess long term neurodevelopmental outcomes of children born at different gestational ages, particularly 32-33 weeks (moderately preterm) and 34-36 weeks (late preterm), compared with 39-40 weeks (full term). DESIGN

Nationwide cohort study.

SETTING

Sweden.

PARTICIPANTS

1 281 690 liveborn singleton children without congenital malformations born at 32^{+0} to 41^{+6} weeks between 1998 and 2012.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES

The primary outcomes of interest were motor, cognitive, epileptic, hearing, and visual impairments and a composite of any neurodevelopmental impairment, diagnosed up to age 16 years. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using Cox regression adjusted for parental and infant characteristics in the study population and in the subset of full siblings. Risk differences were also estimated to assess the absolute risk of neurodevelopmental impairment.

RESULTS

During a median follow-up of 13.1 years (interquartile range 9.5-15.9 years), 75311 (47.8 per 10000 person years) liveborn singleton infants without congenital malformations had at least one diagnosis of any neurodevelopmental impairment: 5899 (3.6 per 10000 person years) had motor impairment, 27371 (17.0 per 10000 person years) cognitive impairment, 11870 (7.3 per 10000 person years)

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

Children born moderately preterm (32-33 weeks) or late preterm (34-36 weeks) represent a substantial healthcare burden in neonatal medicine Although reports suggest higher risks of neurodevelopmental impairments in children born moderately or late preterm, few population based studies have investigated the long term neurodevelopmental outcomes of these children compared with children born at term

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

In liveborn singleton children without congenital malformations, risks for neurodevelopmental impairments were highest at 32 gestational weeks, and gradually decreased until 41 weeks

Even small absolute risks should not be underestimated as these preterm children comprise the largest proportion of children born preterm

The findings may help professionals and families to better assess risk, follow-up, and healthcare systems planning for children born moderately or late preterm

epileptic impairment, 19700 (12.2 per 10000 person years) visual impairment, and 20393 (12.6 per 10000 person years) hearing impairment. Children born moderately or late preterm, compared with those born full term, showed higher risks for any impairment (hazard ratio 1.73 (95% confidence interval 1.60 to 1.87) and 1.30 (1.26 to 1.35); risk difference 4.75% (95% confidence interval 3.88% to 5.60%) and 2.03% (1.75% to 2.35%), respectively) as well as motor, cognitive, epileptic, visual, and hearing impairments. Risks for neurodevelopmental impairments appeared highest from 32 weeks (the earliest gestational age), gradually declined until 41 weeks, and were also higher at 37-38 weeks (early term) compared with 39-40 weeks. In the sibling comparison analysis (n=349108), most associations remained stable except for gestational age and epileptic and hearing impairments, where no association was observed; for children born early term the risk was only higher for cognitive impairment compared with those born full term

CONCLUSIONS

The findings of this study suggest that children born moderately or late preterm have higher risks of adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes. The risks should not be underestimated as these children comprise the largest proportion of children born preterm. The findings may help professionals and families achieve a better risk assessment and followup.

Introduction

Children born preterm have higher risks of neurodevelopmental and behavioural disabilities in the first years of life and throughout childhood and adolescence compared with children born at term.¹ Studies have mainly focused on the long term outcomes of children born extremely preterm (<28 weeks) or very preterm (28 to <32 weeks), despite the fact that children born moderately (32-33 weeks) or late (34-36 weeks) preterm account for about 80% of all children born preterm.²⁻⁵

Children born moderately or late preterm represent a major healthcare burden in neonatal medicine,^{6 7} and even small increases in adverse outcomes may have important consequences from a public health perspective, including the day-to-day functioning of children and their families. Recent reports indicate that compared with their peers born at term (\geq 37 weeks), children born moderately or late preterm are at higher risk of neurodevelopmental disabilities, with impaired cognition,⁸⁻¹⁶ impaired language^{8 10 11 15 17 18} and motor function,^{8 10 11 15 16 19} lower social-emotional competence,^{8 12 13 15 20} and higher risk of poor school performance.¹³ ¹⁵ ²¹⁻²⁵ In contrast with studies of children born extremely preterm,²⁶⁻³¹ most studies of children born moderately or late preterm are not population based.⁸⁻¹³ ¹⁵ ¹⁷ ²⁰⁻²⁵ Population based studies are needed for more accurate risk estimates for children born moderately or late preterm, using standardised outcome measures and thus allowing follow-up of neurodevelopmental outcomes over time.⁴

In this nationwide cohort of more than one million liveborn singleton children of gestational age 32^{+0} weeks to 41^{+6} weeks, we assessed long term neurodevelopmental outcomes of children born at different gestational ages, particularly those born moderately or late preterm, compared with children born full term.

Methods

Data sources

Using the unique personal identity numbers of mothers and children,³² we linked data from the Swedish Medical Birth Register³³ to several Swedish national registries: the National Patient Register,³⁴ Total Population Register,³⁵ Education Register,³⁶ and Cause of Death Register.³⁷ Extensive validation of the Medical Birth Register has shown high validity for most variables and coverage of prospectively collected information on almost all births in Sweden since 1973.³³ The Swedish National Patient Register provides information on primary and secondary diagnoses at discharge for all patients admitted to hospital care since 1987 and from specialised outpatient care units since 2001.



Study population

This population based cohort study included 1496950 births recorded in the Swedish Medical Birth Register from 1 January 1998 to 31 December 2012. We excluded stillbirths (n=5255), multiple births (n=43602), children with major congenital malformations (n=51858), births with missing information on personal identity number of children or mothers (n=1843), children with missing data on infant's sex (n=7), children who emigrated (n=113)or died (n=2025) before age 28 days, children with missing data on gestational age (n=871), and children with gestational age <32 weeks (n=7616) and \geq 42 weeks (n=102070). After exclusions, the study population comprised 1281690 liveborn singleton children without congenital malformations born from 32^{+0} to 41^{+6} weeks (see supplementary figure A). Supplementary table A provides information on the ICD-10 (international classification of diseases and related health problems, 10th revision) codes for major congenital malformations.

Gestational age

Gestational age (recorded in days) was determined using a hierarchy: early second trimester ultrasonography (88.4%), date of last menstrual period (6.6%), or postnatal assessment (4.9%).³³ To analyse gestational age in weeks as a continuous variable, we divided the days by seven and rounded up to one decimal place. To analyse gestational age as a categorical variable, we rounded gestational age down to completed week and categorised children as born moderately preterm (32-33 weeks), late preterm (34-36 weeks), early term (37-38 weeks), full term (39-40 weeks), and late term (41 weeks).⁷

Outcomes

We obtained information on neurodevelopmental outcomes, including motor, cognitive, epileptic, visual, and hearing impairments, from the Swedish National Patient Register. Each outcome was defined as at least one diagnosis of any of the outcomes in the register. A composite outcome of any neurodevelopmental impairment was defined as a diagnosis of one or more of motor, cognitive, epileptic, visual, or hearing impairment. A severe or major impairment was defined as a diagnosis of one or more of cerebral palsy, severe mental retardation, generalised epilepsy, and severe hearing or visual impairment. Supplementary table A provides information on ICD-10 codes for these outcomes. All children born from 1998 to 2012 were followed for each outcome from 28 days after birth until the date of first diagnosis of the neurodevelopmental outcome, death, emigration, 16th birthday, or 31 December 2019, whichever came first. Therefore, each child had a minimum of follow-up of seven years. Autism spectrum disorders and attention deficit/ hyperactivity disorder were not included as outcomes in the current study because those outcomes based on data from Swedish registries have been published for preterm birth.³⁸⁻⁴⁰

Covariates

Characteristics reported to be associated with both gestational age and neurodevelopmental impairments were considered as potential confounders based on a directed acyclic graph (see supplementary figure B). Maternal characteristics included age at delivery.^{41 42} parity,⁴¹⁴³⁴⁴ country of birth,⁴¹⁴⁴ cohabiting status,⁴¹⁴⁵ body mass index (BMI) during early pregnancy, 4647 and smoking during pregnancy.^{37 43 48} Maternal diseases included diabetic and hypertensive diseases.² ⁴² ⁴⁴ Parents' characteristics included parental highest educational level and parental history of neurological psychiatric disorder.^{41 44} We also included or information on calendar year of delivery to control for temporal changes in obstetric and neonatal practice and in diagnosis of neurodevelopmental outcomes.⁴⁹ Characteristics of the infants included infant's sex^{44 45} and birth weight for gestational age, the latter being calculated based on the Swedish national sex specific reference curve for fetal growth.^{41 44 50} Supplementary table A provides the ICD-10 codes for parental diseases.

Statistical analysis

Parental and infant characteristics were described among children born moderately preterm (32-33 weeks), late preterm (34-36 weeks), early term (37-38 weeks), full term (39-40 weeks), and late term (41 weeks). We calculated the incidence rates of each outcome studied during follow-up by gestational age group. The number of impaired neurodevelopmental outcomes among the affected children was also described.

To assess the association between gestational age and each outcome of interest, we used Cox proportional hazards regression to estimate hazard ratios along with 95% confidence intervals across the five gestational age groups, with 39-40 weeks as the reference, and between each completed week using 40 weeks as the reference. Age of the child was used as the underlying time scale. Schoenfeld residuals were used to test the proportional hazards assumption. We also estimated risk differences as P(X)-P(40), where P(X) is the risk of developing a neurodevelopmental outcome by age 16 years at a certain gestational age X, and P(40) is the corresponding risk at 40 weeks of gestation (reference). To consider the impact of preterm birth on the neurodevelopmental health of the population, we further estimated the population attributable fraction, defined as the proportion of the cases of neurodevelopmental impairment in the entire population attributable to a specific gestational age group, instead of 39-40 gestational weeks. Hazard ratios, risk differences, and population attributable fractions along with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals were adjusted for maternal characteristics (age at delivery, parity, country of birth, cohabiting status, BMI during early pregnancy, smoking during pregnancy, calendar period of delivery), maternal diseases (diabetic and hypertensive diseases), parental characteristics (highest educational level and history of neurological or psychiatric disorder),

and birth characteristics of the infants (sex and birth weight for gestational age). In addition, to assess the potential non-linear relationship of each outcome with gestational age on a continuous scale, we used restricted cubic splines with three knots positioned at the 10th, 50th, and 90th centiles of the distribution of the gestational age variable; the hazard ratios and risk differences were estimated using 40⁺⁰ completed gestational weeks as the reference. To assess the impact of birth weight for gestational age on long term outcomes among children born moderately or late preterm, we estimated hazard ratios stratified by birth weight for gestational age categories among children born preterm. Finally, to account for the correlation among full siblings, we used a robust sandwich estimator to correct standard errors in the analyses.

We performed several sensitivity analyses, estimating hazard ratios for the studied associations. Firstly, because we used complete case analysis in the primary analysis, results might have been biased owing to missing values of confounders (missing proportions in the variables ranging from <0.1% to 10.9%). We therefore conducted the Cox regression analysis using multiple imputation of missing values with chained equations.⁵¹ Ten imputations with 50 iterations each were implemented, and the imputation was informed using maternal characteristics, maternal diseases, parental characteristics, birth characteristics of infants, gestational age, and each outcome of interest. Secondly, we performed a sibling comparison analysis to control for unmeasured shared genetic and environmental factors. In this analysis, only full siblings discordant for both gestational age (ie, siblings in different gestational age groups) and outcome (ie, siblings with different time to event) were informative and thus were included. Stratified Cox regression was conducted and adjusted for confounding factors except maternal country of birth and parental educational level. Thirdly, we investigated if the level of risk differed by type of onset of labour (spontaneous versus induced) using formal tests for interaction. Fourthly, because of the difference in coverage of calendar years between inpatient and outpatient data in the National Patient Register, we performed an analysis in which we restricted the population to children born from 2001 to 2012, when data on both hospital admission and outpatient care were available.

Data management and preparation were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Statistical analyses were performed using Stata version 15.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX) and R version 4.1.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Patient and public involvement

Although we support the importance of patient and public involvement, this study was based on analysis of information available from linkage of anonymised data in national registries. No patients were directly involved in designing the research question or the outcome measures, nor were they involved in developing plans for implementation of the study. No patients were asked to advise on interpretation or writing up of results. The collection of patient data in national healthcare registries in Sweden dates back to the 1970s, when patient and public engagement in healthcare and research was less common. As yet, there are no structured processes in Sweden around those data sources, and how national authorities, professional organisations, and research departments are to manage patient and public involvement. This study also lacked funding for patient and public involvement. However, the impetus for this study was parental concerns about follow-up care of moderately and late preterm infants often expressed by families during their stay in the neonatal intensive care unit.

Results

Of 1 281 690 liveborn singleton children, 7525 (0.6%) were born at 32-33 weeks, 48772 (3.8%) at 34-36 weeks, 257591 (20.1%) at 37-38 weeks, 713952 (55.7%) at 39-40 weeks, and 253850 (19.8%) at 41 weeks. Parental characteristics that were more common in children born moderately or late preterm compared with children born full term were young maternal age (<25 years) at delivery, primiparity, mother not cohabiting with partner, maternal obesity (BMI ≥35), maternal smoking during pregnancy, maternal diabetic and hypertensive diseases, parental low (<12 years) educational level, and parental history of neurological or psychiatric disorder (table 1). Children born preterm more often had a low birth weight for gestational age (<10th centile), and male sex was overrepresented (table 1).

The total and median follow-up time was 15772478.4 person years and 13.1 (interguartile range 9.5-15.9) years, respectively. Overall, 75311 (47.8 per 10000 person years) children had any neurodevelopmental impairment, most first diagnosed in specialised outpatient care (see supplementary table B). Of those, 5899 (3.6 per 10000 person years) had motor impairment, 27371 (17.0 per 10000 person years) cognitive impairment, 11870 (7.3 per 10000 person years) epileptic impairment, 19700 (12.2 per 10000 person years) visual impairment, and 20393 (12.6 per 10000 person years) hearing impairment. Severe or major impairment was diagnosed in 8052 children (5.0 per 10000 person years). A total of 1890 (0.1%) children died during follow-up. Children with diagnoses of neurodevelopmental outcomes mainly presented with one impairment (see supplementary table C).

Overall, compared with children born full term, children born moderately or late preterm showed higher risks for any impairment; motor, cognitive, epileptic, visual, and hearing impairments; and severe or major neurodevelopmental impairment (table 2). For example, the highest relative risk of neurodevelopmental impairment for children born moderately preterm compared with infants born full term was for motor impairment, with a hazard ratio of 4.70 (95% confidence interval 3.95 to 5.59).

The risk difference for any impairment was 4.75% (95% confidence interval 3.88% to 5.60%)-that is, 475 (95% confidence interval 388 to 560) cases per 10000 population by age 16 years, when comparing children born moderately preterm with those born full term, showing the highest absolute risk of neurodevelopmental impairment. Children born early term also showed higher risks of neurodevelopmental impairments than children born full term (table 2). When neurodevelopmental outcomes were assessed by gestational age as a continuum, the risks (both relative (hazard ratio) and absolute (risk difference)) for neurodevelopmental impairments were highest at 32⁺⁰ gestational weeks, then gradually declined until 41^{+6} weeks (fig 1 and supplementary table D). Population attributable fractions corresponding to changes in gestational age group showed that the greatest reduction in absolute risk for any neurodevelopmental impairment would be seen in children born at 37-38 weeks if they were born later at 39-40 weeks (2.24%, 95% confidence interval 1.71% to 2.76%). For severe or major impairment, the highest population attributable fractions were observed for children born moderately or late preterm (see supplementary table E). Among children born preterm, birth weight for gestational age between the third and 10th centile was associated with higher risks of any impairment, as well as motor, cognitive, and hearing impairment; these risks, plus those of epileptic, visual, and severe or major impairments, were highest in the lowest birth weight for gestational age (<3rd centile) category (table 3).

After multiple imputations of missing data, the association between gestational age and neurodevelopmental impairment was largely unchanged (see supplementary table F). A comparison analysis on a subset of 349108 full siblings showed similar results except that no evidence was observed for associations between gestational age and epileptic or hearing impairment; children born early term had a higher risk for cognitive impairment only, compared with children born full term (see supplementary table G). After stratifying on onset of labour, we observed overall similar risk patterns between spontaneous and induced labour, with some higher risks for motor and severe or major impairment for children born spontaneously at 32-33 weeks, and for any and cognitive impairment for children born spontaneously at 37-38 weeks, compared with their counterparts born through induced labour (see supplementary table H). Similar results were observed when considering only children born from 2001 to 2012 (see supplementary table I).

Discussion

In this Swedish nationwide cohort study of more than one million children born at 32-41 weeks, we found those born moderately preterm (32-33 weeks) or late preterm (34-36 weeks) showed higher risks of any long term neurodevelopmental outcome, such as motor, cognitive, and visual impairment, than children born Table 1 | Characteristics of parents and of liveborn singleton children of gestational age 32-41 weeks without congenital malformations in Sweden 1998-2012. Values are number (column percentage) unless stated otherwise

		Gestational age (weeks)					
Characteristics	Total	32-33	34-36	37-38	39-40	41	
Total*	1 281 690 (100.0)	7525 (0.6)	48772 (3.8)	257 591 (20.1)	713952 (55.7)	253850 (19.8)	
Mothers							
Age at delivery (years):							
<20	21611(1.7)	184 (2.4)	1019 (2.1)	4461 (1.7)	12 175 (1.7)	3772 (1.5)	
20-24	168 322 (13.1)	1034 (13.7)	7132 (14.6)	32 516 (12.6)	95 661 (13.4)	31979 (12.6)	
25-29	393 511 (30.7)	2271 (30.2)	15 096 (31.0)	75696 (29.4)	223 145 (31.3)	77 303 (30.5)	
30-34	444025 (34.6)	2394 (31.8)	<u>15548 (31.9)</u>	<u>87745 (34.1)</u> 57172 (22.2)	248012 (34.7)	90 326 (35.6)	
≥30 Parity:	254 221 (19.8)	1642 (21.8)	9977 (20.5)	57 173 (22.2)	134959 (18.9)	50470 (19.9)	
1	555625(434)	4272 (56.8)	26171 (537)	105 201 (40 8)	301 246 (42 2)	118735 (46.8)	
2-3	653680 (51.0)	2759 (36.7)	19455 (39.9)	134 937 (52.4)	374278 (52.4)	122 251 (48.2)	
≥4	72385 (5.6)	494 (6.6)	3146 (6.5)	17 453 (6.8)	38 4 28 (5.4)	12864 (5.1)	
Country of birth:							
Nordic†	1043737 (81.4)	6124 (81.4)	39 934 (81.9)	205 647 (79.8)	580 261 (81.3)	211771 (83.4)	
Other	237 540 (18.5)	1395 (18.5)	8822 (18.1)	51858 (20.1)	133466 (18.7)	41999 (16.5)	
Missing	413 (0.0)	6 (0.1)	16 (0.0)	86 (0.0)	225 (0.0)	80 (0.0)	
Cohabiting							
Yes	1149088 (89.7)	6296 (83.7)	42 224 (86.6)	229 006 (88.9)	642 584 (90.0)	228978 (90.2)	
No	68015 (5.3)	548 (7.3)	3051 (6.3)	14 396 (5.6)	36667 (5.1)	13 353 (5.3)	
Missing	64 587 (5.0)	681 (9.0)	3497 (7.2)	14 189 (5.5)	34701 (4.9)	11 519 (4.5)	
Lany pregnancy BMI:	27659 (2.2)	204 (2 7)	1227 (27)	6547 (2 F)	15 (00 (2 2)	(000 (1 6)	
18.5	27 058 (2.2)	204 (2.7)	1327 (2.7) 24620 (EO E)	127606 (52.4)	200.828 (5.6.0)	4090 (1.6)	
25.20.0	702025 (54.0)	1640 (21.8)	10666 (21.9)	56 4 34 (21 9)	156949 (220)	59626 (23.5)	
30-34.9	90.295 (7.0)	586 (7.8)	3835 (7.9)	19039(7/)	47 757 (6 7)	19078 (7.5)	
35-39.9	26746 (2.1)	191 (2 5)	1321 (2 7)	6168 (2.4)	13492 (1.9)	5574 (2 2)	
≥40	9347 (0.7)	81 (1.1)	514 (1.1)	2149 (0.8)	4649 (0.7)	1954 (0.8)	
Missing	139 506 (10.9)	1137 (15.1)	6470 (13.3)	29648 (11.5)	75787 (10.6)	26 464 (10.4)	
Smoking during pregnancy:							
No	1 107 880 (86.4)	5917 (78.6)	39946 (81.9)	218594 (84.9)	620 483 (86.9)	222940 (87.8)	
Yes	113 881 (8.9)	908 (12.1)	5409 (11.1)	25 641 (10.0)	61581 (8.6)	20 342 (8.0)	
Missing	59929 (4.7)	700 (9.3)	3417 (7.0)	13 356 (5.2)	31888 (4.5)	10 568 (4.2)	
Diabetic diseases:							
No	1 262 470 (98.5)	7259 (96.5)	46 876 (96.1)	250940 (97.4)	705 148 (98.8)	252 247 (99.4)	
Pregestational diabetes	5909 (0.5)	142 (1.9)	924 (1.9)	2552 (1.0)	2108 (0.3)	183 (0.1)	
Gestational diabetes	13 311 (1.0)	124 (1.6)	972 (2.0)	4099 (1.6)	6696 (0.9)	1420 (0.6)	
Hypertensive diseases:	1 2 2 0 2 0 4 (0 4 4)	F07F (70 /)	(2121(00))	2// 000 (05 1)		2 (0 / 11 (07 0)	
NO	1 2 3 8 3 9 4 (96.6)	5975 (79.4)	43131 (88.4)	244 889 (95.1)	695988(97.5)	248411 (97.9)	
Pregestational hypertension	24000 (2.7)	1/8 (2.4)	/13(1.5)	2282 (0.9)	3965 (0.6)	(1159 (0.5)	
Calendar period of delivery.	54 777 (2.7)	1372 (18.2)	4928 (10.1)	10420 (4.0)	13999 (2.0)	4200 (1.7)	
1998-2002	379 175 (29 6)	2306 (30.6)	14968 (307)	75,056 (29,1)	210 271 (29 5)	76 574 (30 2)	
2003-07	430 912 (33.6)	2615 (34.8)	16490 (33.8)	89 330 (34.7)	237 644 (33.3)	84833 (33.4)	
2008-12	471603 (36.8)	2604 (34.6)	17 314 (35.5)	93 205 (36.2)	266037 (37.3)	92443 (36.4)	
Parents							
Highest educational level (years):							
≤11	174172 (13.6)	1249 (16.6)	7700 (15.8)	38668 (15.0)	94672(13.3)	31883 (12.6)	
12-14	525372 (41.0)	3227 (42.9)	20885 (42.8)	107 285 (41.6)	291 425 (40.8)	102 550 (40.4)	
≥15	580487 (45.3)	3042 (40.4)	20145 (41.3)	111 272 (43.2)	326957 (45.8)	119071 (46.9)	
Missing	1659 (0.1)	7 (0.1)	42 (0.1)	366 (0.1)	898 (0.1)	346 (0.1)	
History of neurological or psychiatric							
disorder:	1120((0(07.4))	(200 (84 0)	(12// (0/0)	220.040 (85.4)		225 001 (00 7)	
NO Yos	161020 (12.6)	1125 (15 1)	7429 (15 2)	220040 (85.4)	86 1 47 (1 2 1)	225081(88.7)	
Infants	101030 (12.0)	11))(1).1)	7420 (1).2)	57 551 (14.0)	80147 (12.1)	20709(11.3)	
Sex.							
Female	633,069 (49,4)	3321 (44.1)	22790 (46.7)	128438(49.9)	359 204 (50.3)	119316 (47.0)	
Male	648621 (50.6)	4204 (55.9)	25982 (53.3)	129 153 (50.1)	354748 (49.7)	134 534 (53.0)	
Birth weight for gestational age (centiles):							
<3rd	27 650 (2.2)	1094 (14.5)	2933 (6.0)	6346 (2.5)	12 422 (1.7)	4855 (1.9)	
3rd-10th	77 471 (6.0)	862 (11.5)	3484 (7.1)	14270 (5.5)	41 663 (5.8)	17 192 (6.8)	
10th-90th	1045759 (81.6)	4849 (64.4)	36 1 39 (74.1)	203 105 (78.8)	590965 (82.8)	210701 (83.0)	
90th-97th	80 494 (6.3)	244 (3.2)	2975 (6.1)	19084 (7.4)	44077 (6.2)	14114 (5.6)	
≥97th	46 953 (3.7)	280 (3.7)	2886 (5.9)	14038 (5.4)	23 342 (3.3)	6407 (2.5)	
Missing	3363 (0.3)	196 (2.6)	355 (0.7)	748 (0.3)	1483 (0.2)	581 (0.2)	

BMI=body mass index. *Numbers and row percentages. †Includes Sweden, Denmark, Finland, Iceland, and Norway.

Gestational age	Composite out come*	Neurodevelopmental impairment						
(weeks)		Motor	Cognitive	Epileptic	Visual	Hearing	Severe or majort	
Moderately preterm: 32-33 (n=7525)								
Person years	90313	94591	94474	95 477	95 0 59	95 273	94761	
No with outcome (rate‡)	833 (92.2)	205 (21.7)	335 (35.5)	146 (15.3)	202 (21.2)	193 (20.3)	198 (20.9)	
Hazard ratio (95% Cl)§	1.73 (1.60 to 1.87)	4.70 (3.95 to 5.59)	1.74 (1.54 to 1.97)	1.92 (1.59 to 2.31)	1.72 (1.47 to 2.01)	1.39 (1.18 to 1.64)	3.56 (3.00 to 4.22)	
Risk difference (%) (95% Cl)§¶	4.75 (3.88 to 5.60)	1.66 (1.31 to 1.97)	2.02 (1.56 to 2.51)	0.97 (0.59 to 1.41)	1.24 (0.74 to 1.65)	0.71 (0.35 to 1.13)	1.76 (1.42 to 2.06)	
Late preterm: 34-36 (n=48 772)								
Person years	598 343	621584	616565	621077	618083	618996	621694	
No with outcome (rate‡)	3882 (64.9)	439 (7.1)	1492 (24.2)	592 (9.5)	1082 (17.5)	953 (15.4)	495 (8.0)	
Hazard ratio (95% Cl)§	1.30 (1.26 to 1.35)	1.90 (1.70 to 2.13)	1.31 (1.24 to 1.39)	1.23 (1.12 to 1.36)	1.42 (1.32 to 1.52)	1.16 (1.08 to 1.25)	1.55 (1.40 to 1.72)	
Risk difference (%) (95% Cl)§¶	2.03 (1.75 to 2.35)	0.40 (0.32 to 0.50)	0.88 (0.72 to 1.10)	0.25 (0.13 to 0.36)	0.71 (0.58 to 0.89)	0.29 (0.12 to 0.42)	0.37 (0.25 to 0.48)	
Early term: 37-38 (n=257 591)								
Person years	3 169 387	3266114	3 2 4 1 5 8 7	3 260 377	3 2 4 9 5 8 0	3 2 4 8 3 8 9	3 265 620	
No with outcome (rate‡)	16269 (51.3)	1386 (4.2)	6230 (19.2)	2468 (7.6)	4244 (13.1)	4302 (13.2)	1671 (5.1)	
Hazard ratio (95% Cl)§	1.08 (1.06 to 1.11)	1.28 (1.20 to 1.38)	1.14 (1.10 to 1.17)	1.06 (1.01 to 1.11)	1.10 (1.05 to 1.14)	1.04 (1.00 to 1.08)	1.10 (1.03 to 1.17)	
Risk difference (%) (95% Cl)§¶	0.57 (0.42 to 0.71)	0.13 (0.08 to 0.16)	0.38 (0.29 to 0.48)	0.06 (-0.00 to 0.12)	0.17 (0.10 to 0.23)	0.08 (0.00 to 0.16)	0.06 (0.02 to 0.11)	
Full term: 39-40 (n=713952)								
Person years	8776743	9016313	8957542	8994774	8972130	8 965 555	9010016	
No with outcome (rate‡)	40114 (45.7)	2845 (3.2)	14 278 (15.9)	6415 (7.1)	10419 (11.6)	11064 (12.3)	4154 (4.6)	
Hazard ratio (95% Cl)§	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	
Risk difference (%) (95% Cl)§¶	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	
Late term: 41 (n=253 850)								
Person years	3 1 3 7 6 9 2	3223216	3 202 278	3 215 850	3 206 799	3 205 576	3220728	
No with outcome (rate‡)	14 213 (45.3)	1024 (3.2)	5036 (15.7)	2249 (7.0)	3753 (11.7)	3881 (12.1)	1534 (4.8)	
Hazard ratio (95% CI)§	0.98 (0.96 to 1.00)	0.95 (0.88 to 1.03)	0.97 (0.93 to 1.00)	0.96 (0.91 to 1.01)	1.01 (0.97 to 1.05)	0.98 (0.94 to 1.02)	1.01 (0.95 to 1.07)	
Risk difference (%) (95% Cl)§¶	-0.12 (-0.24 to 0.01)	-0.02 (-0.05 to 0.02)	-0.09 (-0.16 to -0.01)	-0.04 (-0.09 to 0.02)	0.02 (-0.07 to 0.10)	-0.04 (-0.10 to 0.02)	0.00 (-0.03 to 0.05)	

Table 2 | Neurodevelopmental outcomes by gestational age (32-41 weeks) among liveborn singleton children without congenital malformations in Sweden 1998-2012

CI=confidence interval.

*At least one of motor, cognitive, epileptic, visual, or hearing impairment.

†Diagnosis of cerebral palsy, severe mental retardation, generalised epileptic disorder, or severe hearing or visual impairment.

\$Number with outcome per 10000 person years.

\$Adjusted for maternal age at delivery, parity, country of birth, cohabiting status, body mass index during early pregnancy, smoking during pregnancy, diabetic and hypertensive diseases, calendar period of delivery, parental highest educational level, parental history of neurological or psychiatric disorder, infant's sex, and birth weight for gestational age.

Difference in risk of a specific neurodevelopmental outcome by age 16 years comparing different gestational age groups.

full term (39-40 weeks). These risks were highest at the earliest gestational age (from 32 weeks), and gradually decreased as gestational age increased, with higher risks also at early term (37-38 weeks) than at full term. Among children born preterm, those born small for gestational age, especially in the <3rd centile, showed higher risks of long term neurodevelopmental impairment than those born preterm with normal birth

Strengths and limitations of this study

weight for gestational age.

A major strength of the study is the population based design and the large sample size using comprehensive

national registries with high validity, making it possible to investigate clinically relevant risks across the spectrum of gestational age. This study provided a detailed overview of long term neurodevelopmental outcomes among infants born at 32-41 gestational weeks from a nationwide cohort. As children born moderately or late preterm receive the same routine care as children born at term in Sweden as in many other countries,⁵² ⁵³ misclassification of outcomes related to gestational age is unlikely. We were able to adjust for potential confounders known to affect both gestational age and neurodevelopment, based on prospectively collected data on gestational age, covariates, and outcomes from



Motor impairment









Gestational age (weeks)

Fig 1 | Association between gestational age and neurodevelopmental outcomes among liveborn singleton children without congenital malformations in Sweden 1998-2012. Risk difference is the difference in risk of neurodevelopmental outcome by age 16 years comparing different gestational ages. Hazard ratios and risk differences are adjusted for maternal age at delivery, parity, country of birth, cohabiting status, body mass index during early pregnancy, smoking during pregnancy, diabetic and hypertensive diseases, calendar period of delivery, parental highest educational level, parental history of neurological or psychiatric disorder, and infant's sex and birth weight for gestational age. Children born at 40⁺⁰ weeks are the reference. Any impairment was defined by at least one of the following: motor, cognitive, epileptic, visual, or hearing impairment. Any severe or major impairment was defined by a diagnosis of cerebral palsy, severe mental retardation, generalised epileptic disorder, or severe hearing or visual impairment

> the first visit to antenatal care to discharge from delivery hospital, as well as inpatient and outpatient care. Apart from hazard ratios, we also estimated risk differences and population attributable fractions to provide a

comprehensive picture of the studied associations and the public health impact of preterm birth.

This study has also some limitations. We were unable to provide precise information on

congenital matrormations in Sweden 1998-2012 (n=55746)								
Birth weight for		Neurodevelopmental impairment						
gestational age (centiles)	Composite outcome*	Motor	Cognitive	Epileptic	Visual	Hearing	Severe or majort	
<3rd (n=4027)								
Person years	47 369	50391	49865	50635	50307	50 394	50 4 3 9	
No with outcome (rate‡)	524 (110.6)	95 (18.9)	233 (46.7)	81 (16.0)	133 (26.4)	129 (25.6)	100 (19.8)	
Hazard ratio (95% CI)§	1.65 (1.47 to 1.85)	2.27 (1.72 to 3.00)	1.83 (1.53 to 2.18)	1.78 (1.35 to 2.37)	1.50 (1.20 to 1.87)	1.66 (1.30 to 2.11)	2.27 (1.74 to 2.96)	
3rd-10th (n=4346)								
Person years	52 57 2	54860	54447	55000	54738	54688	54981	
No with outcome (rate‡)	419 (79.7)	63 (11.5)	170 (31.2)	63 (11.5)	107 (19.5)	112 (20.5)	55 (10.0)	
Hazard ratio (95% CI)§	1.24 (1.11 to 1.39)	1.39 (1.03 to 1.88)	1.32 (1.10 to 1.59)	1.24 (0.92 to 1.68)	1.08 (0.86 to 1.36)	1.43 (1.14 to 1.79)	1.18 (0.86 to 1.62)	
10th-90th (n=40 988)								
Person years	501082	519774	516333	519725	517 296	518269	519835	
No with outcome (rate‡)	3187 (63.6)	409 (7.9)	1191 (23.1)	501 (9.6)	887 (17.1)	756 (14.6)	454 (8.7)	
Hazard ratio (95% CI)§	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	
90th-97th (n=3219)								
Person years	40817	42295	41961	42 270	42111	42157	42320	
No with outcome (rate‡)	240 (58.8)	31 (7.3)	90 (21.4)	38 (9.0)	66 (15.7)	63 (14.9)	30 (7.1)	
Hazard ratio (95% CI)§	0.86 (0.74 to 1.00)	0.86 (0.57 to 1.30)	0.83 (0.65 to 1.05)	0.82 (0.56 to 1.20)	0.90 (0.68 to 1.19)	0.96 (0.71 to 1.28)	0.81 (0.54 to 1.22)	
≥97th (n=3166)								
Person years	39718	41419	41010	41 459	41 299	41307	41438	
No with outcome (rate‡)	284 (71.5)	35 (8.5)	122 (29.7)	44 (10.6)	72 (17.4)	69 (16.7)	41 (9.9)	
Hazard ratio (95% CI)§	1.01 (0.88 to 1.17)	0.71 (0.47 to 1.08)	1.07 (0.86 to 1.33)	0.89 (0.61 to 1.29)	1.06 (0.81 to 1.37)	1.13 (0.85 to 1.50)	0.79 (0.53 to 1.20)	

Table 3 | Neurodevelopmental outcomes by birth weight for gestational age among preterm (32-36 weeks) liveborn singleton children without congenital malformations in Sweden 1998-2012 (n=55 746)

CI=confidence interval.

*At least one of motor, cognitive, epileptic, visual, or hearing impairment.

†Diagnosis of cerebral palsy, severe mental retardation, generalised epileptic disorder, or severe hearing or visual impairment.

‡Number with outcome per 10 000 person years.

\$Adjusted for maternal age at delivery, parity, country of birth, cohabiting status, body mass index during early pregnancy, smoking during pregnancy, diabetic and hypertensive diseases,

calendar period of delivery, parental highest educational level, parental history of neurological or psychiatric disorder, infant's sex, and gestational age.

neurodevelopmental outcomes, such as intelligence quotient, owing to the non-granular nature of the data. Some neurodevelopmental outcomes such as autism spectrum disorders and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder were not included, and it was not possible to distinguish between types or severity of some of the impairments owing to an overlap in clinical signs. This might have led to the outcome diagnoses being underreported or misclassified, which could result in an underestimation of associations. Competing risk of death might be present but its possible impact on the estimated associations is considered negligible because death is a rare event in this study population. Coverage of data from public inpatient and outpatient care is almost 100%, but coverage of data from private specialised care is estimated to be lower, even if it is mandatory for all public and private care providers to deliver data to the Patient Register.⁵⁴ This could result in the number of affected children being underreported. Unmeasured confounding, such as alcohol and substance misuse during pregnancy, and treatment with antenatal steroids before preterm delivery, might have influenced our results. Moreover, given the observational nature of the study, we cannot

draw conclusions about the causal relationship between gestational age and neurodevelopmental impairment. Lastly, despite adjusting for calendar period of delivery, developments in obstetric and neonatal care may have influenced the association between gestational age and outcomes over the 15 years of the study period.

Comparison with other studies

Our findings confirm and expand on the results of earlier studies describing higher risks of adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes among children born moderately or late preterm.⁸⁻¹⁵ ¹⁷⁻²⁵ ⁵⁵ Comparisons of long term outcomes for those children is challenging as most published studies only evaluated outcomes at 2 years or 36 months of age,⁸⁻¹¹ ¹⁸ ²⁰ ²¹ or evaluated different outcomes, such as school performance.²²⁻²⁴ Nevertheless, the prevalence of motor, visual, and hearing impairment for infants born at 32-34 weeks in our study are in line with those reported from the EPIPAGE-2 (an epidemiological study on small gestational ages) cohort study,¹⁵ even if the exact definitions of outcomes and lengths of follow-up were not similar. Moreover, we described in detail associations between gestational week and risks of different outcomes with long term follow-up. Interestingly, not only children born moderately or late preterm but also those born early term faced higher risks of adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes. When looking at the whole spectrum of term gestation. children born early term have been reported to have higher risks compared with children born full term for neonatal morbidities during the neonatal period,⁷ and for motor and cognitive impairments and lower academic performance during early childhood. ^{14 22 56} In the sibling comparison analysis, the associations between early term birth and neurodevelopmental impairments were attenuated to null. This suggests that the associations between early term birth and adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes might be explained by shared genetic and environmental factors. However, null findings may also imply that the impact of early term birth on neurodevelopment mediated only through familial factors is "controlled away" in sibling comparison analysis.⁵⁷ Moreover, given that the subset of full siblings only accounts for about a quarter of the entire population, this result might be prone to type II error and should be interpreted with caution.

Weekly increased risks have already been reported for autism spectrum disorder by decreasing gestational weeks, in children born full term to early term and to preterm in Sweden.^{38 39} All these increased risks have an adverse impact on early school performance,^{13 21-23} income, and possibilities of completing a university education.⁵⁸ Although absolute risks are low, even small shifts in the gestational age spectrum might have implications for public health, as moderately or late preterm births constitute 84% of preterm births in Sweden and nearly 80% of preterm births in other high income countries.⁴¹⁵⁹

Implications and future work

Compared with children born extremely or very preterm, those born moderately or late preterm are considered as low risk, and in many countries are not included in follow-up programmes.⁵² However, our results support the findings of no clear cut-off limit before 40 gestational weeks when children can be considered as fully mature,^{7 60-62} as children born moderately or late preterm and also early term are more vulnerable compared with children born full term. Results on low absolute risks may help professionals when advising parents and families about risk, to avoid unnecessary anxiety and reassure them. Our findings may also help obstetricians and neonatologists balance the advantages and disadvantages of induced labour in cases of non-spontaneous birth. Professionals must be aware that it might be possible to lower risks in children born preterm or early term by delaying birth and restricting induction of labour before 39 weeks, except for medical reasons.⁶³ During followup of this large population of children born preterm, primary care practitioners, general practitioners, and paediatricians need to be aware of the difficulties that families might face, and be alert to parental concerns to

avoid delayed referrals to specialised services for these children, particularly for those born preterm and small for gestational age. Our findings support the strategy to prevent births before full term to decrease the risk of neurodevelopmental impairments. Targeting health policies focused on population risk factors for the full spectrum of early delivery (<39 weeks), including pregnancy complications, maternal sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics, environmental factors, and medical practices (eg, provider initiated delivery) could have a synergistic impact on the avoidance of early delivery.⁴¹ Future studies could evaluate causal pathways resulting in adverse outcomes, such as the reason for prematurity and neonatal morbidities,⁶⁴ and strategies for prevention or intervention. It might also be considered whether a larger proportion of children born preterm should be subjected to some structural follow-up after discharge from neonatal care, especially those born small for gestational age. Also, improving the knowledge of education professionals about the needs of children born preterm might improve early recognition and referral to specialised services and thus enhance appropriate support for these children.¹⁵

Conclusion

In this large population based cohort study, we found long term neurodevelopmental impairments in a broad range of areas among the largest group of children born preterm, reflecting the continuity of risk across the gestational age spectrum. This global perspective is important when advising parents and health professionals, and also when planning healthcare systems for children born preterm. Our findings support that preventing moderately or late preterm delivery may have implications for public health, and that higher risks faced by these groups of children and their families should not be underestimated.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

¹Division of Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

²CHU Lille, Pediatric and Neonatal Intensive Care Transport Unit, Department of Emergency Medicine, Lille, France

³Université Paris Cité, CRESS, Obstetrical, Perinatal and Pediatric Epidemiology Research Team (EPOPé) INSERM, INRAE, Paris, France ⁴School of Public Health (Shenzhen), Sun Yat-sen University, Shenzhen, 518107, China

netizitet, 910107, enita

⁵Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

⁶Department of Clinical Science and Education, Södersjukhuset, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

 $^{7}\mbox{Sachs'}$ Children and Youth Hospital, Södersjukhuset, Stockholm, Sweden

⁸Department of Pediatric Rheumatology, Astrid Lindgren Children's Hospital, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

Contributors: AM, RC, and JB conceived and designed the study. AM and RC analysed the data. AM wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript, interpreted the data, critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content, and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work. OS, NR, and JB obtained funding. NR and OS provided administrative, technical, and material support. All authors had full access to all the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. They are the guarantors. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

Funding: AM was supported by Karolinska Institutet Research Foundation grants. RC was supported by the 100 Talents Plan Foundation of Sun Yat-sen University. JB was supported by Region Stockholm (clinical postdoctoral appointment) and Karolinska Institutet Research Foundation grants. This study was funded by the Swedish Research Council (No 4-2979/2020). OS was supported by the Swedish Research Council (2013-09298) and the Strategic Research Program in Epidemiology at Karolinska Institutet. The funders had no role in considering the study design or in the collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the report, or decision to submit the article for publication.

Competing interests: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form at www.icmje.org/disclosure-of-interest/ and declare: support from Karolinska Institutet Research Foundation grants, 100 Talents Plan Foundation of Sun Yat-sen University, Region Stockholm (clinical postdoctoral appointment), the Swedish Research Council, and the Strategic Research Program in Epidemiology at Karolinska Institutet; SJ is founder and CEO of Neobiomics (EU-VAT number SE559072218601). Neobiomics is a company providing dietary supplement solutions for infants.

Ethical approval: This study was approved by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority (No 2022-01155-02). According to current Swedish regulation, no informed consent is required for research using national registry data.

Data sharing: No additional data available.

The lead authors (the manuscript's guarantors) affirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned (and, if relevant, registered) have been explained.

Dissemination to participants and related patient and public communities: The findings of this study will be disseminated through the media departments and websites of the authors' institutes, and through press releases and social media.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt and build upon this work, for commercial use, provided the original work is properly cited. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

- Saigal S, Doyle LW. An overview of mortality and sequelae of preterm birth from infancy to adulthood. *Lancet* 2008;371:261-9. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60136-1
- 2 Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *Lancet* 2008;371:75-84. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(08)60074-4
- 3 Vohr B. Long-term outcomes of moderately preterm, late preterm, and early term infants. *Clin Perinatol* 2013;40:739-51. doi:10.1016/j.clp.2013.07.006
- 4 Woythaler M. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of the late preterm infant. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med 2019;24:54-9. doi:10.1016/j. siny.2018.10.002
- 5 Chan E, Leong P, Malouf R, Quigley MA. Long-term cognitive and school outcomes of late-preterm and early-term births: a systematic review. *Child Care Health Dev* 2016;42:297-312. doi:10.1111/cch.12320
- 6 Boyle EM, Johnson S, Manktelow B, et al. Neonatal outcomes and delivery of care for infants born late preterm or moderately preterm: a prospective population-based study. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2015;100:F479-85. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2014-307347
- 7 Mitha A, Chen R, Altman M, Johansson S, Stephansson O, Bolk J. Neonatal Morbidities in Infants Born Late Preterm at 35-36 Weeks of Gestation: A Swedish Nationwide Population-based Study. J Pediatr 2021;233:43-50.e5. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2021.02.066
- 8 Cheong JL, Doyle LW, Burnett AC, et al. Association Between Moderate and Late Preterm Birth and Neurodevelopment and Social-Emotional Development at Age 2 Years. JAMA Pediatr 2017;171:e164805. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2016.4805
- 9 Johnson S, Evans TA, Draper ES, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes following late and moderate prematurity: a population-based cohort study. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2015;100:F301-8. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2014-307684
- 10 Woythaler MA, McCormick MC, Smith VC. Late preterm infants have worse 24-month neurodevelopmental outcomes than term infants. *Pediatrics* 2011;127:e622-9. doi:10.1542/peds.2009-3598
- 11 Spittle AJ, Walsh JM, Potter C, et al. Neurobehaviour at termequivalent age and neurodevelopmental outcomes at 2 years in infants born moderate-to-late preterm. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2017;59:207-15. doi:10.1111/dmcn.13297

- 12 Talge NM, Holzman C, Wang J, Lucia V, Gardiner J, Breslau N. Latepreterm birth and its association with cognitive and socioemotional outcomes at 6 years of age. *Pediatrics* 2010;126:1124-31. doi:10.1542/peds.2010-1536
- 13 van Baar AL, Vermaas J, Knots E, de Kleine MJ, Soons P. Functioning at school age of moderately preterm children born at 32 to 36 weeks' gestational age. *Pediatrics* 2009;124:251-7. doi:10.1542/ peds.2008-2315
- 14 Yin W, Döring N, Persson MSM, et al. Gestational age and risk of intellectual disability: a population-based cohort study. Arch Dis Child 2022;107:826-32. doi:10.1136/ archdischild-2021-323308
- 15 Pierrat V, Marchand-Martin L, Marret S, et al, EPIPAGE-2 writing group. Neurodevelopmental outcomes at age 5 among children born preterm: EPIPAGE-2 cohort study. *BMJ* 2021;373:n741. doi:10.1136/bmj.n741
- 16 Marret S, Ancel P-Y, Marpeau L, et al, Epipage Study Group. Neonatal and 5-year outcomes after birth at 30-34 weeks of gestation. *Obstet Gynecol* 2007;110:72-80. doi:10.1097/01. AOG.0000267498.95402.bd
- 17 Rabie NZ, Bird TM, Magann EF, Hall RW, McKelvey SS. ADHD and developmental speech/language disorders in late preterm, early term and term infants. *J Perinatol* 2015;35:660-4. doi:10.1038/ jp.2015.28
- By Stene-Larsen K, Brandlistuen RE, Lang AM, Landolt MA, Latal B, Vollrath ME. Communication impairments in early term and late preterm children: a prospective cohort study following children to age 36 months. *J Pediatr* 2014;165:1123-8. doi:10.1016/j. jpeds.2014.08.027
- 19 Hirvonen M, Ojala R, Korhonen P, et al. Cerebral palsy among children born moderately and late preterm. *Pediatrics* 2014;134:e1584-93. doi:10.1542/peds.2014-0945
- 20 Johnson S, Matthews R, Draper ES, et al. Early Emergence of Delayed Social Competence in Infants Born Late and Moderately Preterm. J Dev Behav Pediatr 2015;36:690-9. doi:10.1097/ DBP.00000000000222
- 21 Morse SB, Zheng H, Tang Y, Roth J. Early school-age outcomes of late preterm infants. *Pediatrics* 2009;123:e622-9. doi:10.1542/ peds.2008-1405
- 22 Chan E, Quigley MA. School performance at age 7 years in late preterm and early term birth: a cohort study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2014;99:F451-7. doi:10.1136/ archdischild-2014-306124
- 23 Chyi LJ, Lee HC, Hintz SR, Gould JB, Sutcliffe TL. School outcomes of late preterm infants: special needs and challenges for infants born at 32 to 36 weeks gestation. *J Pediatr* 2008;153:25-31. doi:10.1016/j. jpeds.2008.01.027
- 24 Huddy CLJ, Johnson A, Hope PL. Educational and behavioural problems in babies of 32-35 weeks gestation. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2001;85:F23-8. doi:10.1136/fn.85.1.F23
- 25 Woythaler M, McCormick MC, Mao W-Y, Smith VC. Late Preterm Infants and Neurodevelopmental Outcomes at Kindergarten. *Pediatrics* 2015;136:424-31. doi:10.1542/peds.2014-4043
- 26 Moore T, Hennessy EM, Myles J, et al. Neurological and developmental outcome in extremely preterm children born in England in 1995 and 2006: the EPICure studies. *BMJ* 2012;345:e7961. doi:10.1136/bmj.e7961
- 27 Younge N, Goldstein RF, Bann CM, et al, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. Survival and Neurodevelopmental Outcomes among Periviable Infants. N Engl J Med 2017;376:617-28. doi:10.1056/NEIMoa1605566
- 28 Pierrat V, Marchand-Martin L, Arnaud C, et al, EPIPAGE-2 writing group. Neurodevelopmental outcome at 2 years for preterm children born at 22 to 34 weeks' gestation in France in 2011: EPIPAGE-2 cohort study. *BMJ* 2017;358:j3448. doi:10.1136/bmj. j3448
- 29 Doyle LW, Roberts G, Anderson PJ, Victorian Infant Collaborative Study Group. Outcomes at age 2 years of infants < 28 weeks' gestational age born in Victoria in 2005. *J Pediatr* 2010;156:49-53.e1. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2009.07.013
- 30 Stoll BJ, Hansen NI, Bell EF, et al, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Neonatal Research Network. Trends in Care Practices, Morbidity, and Mortality of Extremely Preterm Neonates, 1993-2012. JAMA 2015;314:1039-51. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.10244
- 31 Serenius F, Ewald U, Farooqi A, et al, Extremely Preterm Infants in Sweden Study Group. Neurodevelopmental Outcomes Among Extremely Preterm Infants 6.5 Years After Active Perinatal Care in Sweden. JAMA Pediatr 2016;170:954-63. doi:10.1001/ jamapediatrics.2016.1210
- 32 Ludvigsson JF, Otterblad-Olausson P, Pettersson BU, Ekbom A. The Swedish personal identity number: possibilities and pitfalls in healthcare and medical research. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2009;24:659-67. doi:10.1007/s10654-009-9350-y



- 33 Cnattingius S, Källén K, Sandström A, et al. The Swedish medical birth register during five decades: documentation of the content and quality of the register. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2023;38:109-20. doi:10.1007/510654-022-00947-5
- 34 Ludvigsson JF, Andersson E, Ekbom A, et al. External review and validation of the Swedish national inpatient register. *BMC Public Health* 2011;11:450. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-11-450.
- 35 Ludvigsson JF, Almqvist C, Bonamy A-KE, et al. Registers of the Swedish total population and their use in medical research. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2016;31:125-36. doi:10.1007/s10654-016-0117-y
- 36 Statistics Sweden. Evaluation of the Swedish register of education. 2017. https://www.scb.se/ contentassets/18f5f8f045b8409899fd6600485fa377/ uf0506_2016a01_br_a40br1705.pdf Accessed 9 Aug 2023.
- 37 Brooke HL, Talbäck M, Hörnblad J, et al. The Swedish cause of death register. Eur J Epidemiol 2017;32:765-73. doi:10.1007/s10654-017-0316-1
- 38 Crump C, Sundquist J, Sundquist K. Preterm or Early Term Birth and Risk of Autism. *Pediatrics* 2021;148:e2020032300. doi:10.1542/ peds.2020-032300
- 99 Persson M, Opdahl S, Risnes K, et al. Gestational age and the risk of autism spectrum disorder in Sweden, Finland, and Norway: A cohort study. *Plos Med* 2020;17:e1003207.
- 40 Beer RJ, Cnattingius S, Susser ES, Villamor E. Associations of preterm birth, small-for-gestational age, preeclampsia and placental abruption with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the offspring: Nationwide cohort and sibling-controlled studies. *Acta Paediatr* 2022;111:1546-55. doi:10.1111/apa.16375
- 41 Delnord M, Zeitlin J. Epidemiology of late preterm and early term births - An international perspective. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 2019;24:3-10. doi:10.1016/j.siny.2018.09.001
- 42 Shapiro-Mendoza CK, Tomashek KM, Kotelchuck M, et al. Effect of latepreterm birth and maternal medical conditions on newborn morbidity risk. *Pediatrics* 2008;121:e223-32. doi:10.1542/peds.2006-3629
- 43 Altman M, Vanpée M, Cnattingius S, Norman M. Risk factors for acute respiratory morbidity in moderately preterm infants. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2013;27:172-81. doi:10.1111/ppe.12035
- 44 Engle WA. Morbidity and mortality in late preterm and early term newborns: a continuum. *Clin Perinatol* 2011;38:493-516. doi:10.1016/j.clp.2011.06.009
- 45 Blencowe H, Cousens S, Chou D, et al, Born Too Soon Preterm Birth Action Group. Born too soon: the global epidemiology of 15 million preterm births. *Reprod Health* 2013;10(Suppl 1):S2. doi:10.1186/1742-4755-10-S1-S2
- 46 Cnattingius S, Villamor E, Johansson S, et al. Maternal obesity and risk of preterm delivery. JAMA 2013;309:2362-70. doi:10.1001/ jama.2013.6295
- 47 Johansson S, Villamor E, Altman M, Bonamy AK, Granath F, Cnattingius S. Maternal overweight and obesity in early pregnancy and risk of infant mortality: a population based cohort study in Sweden. BMJ 2014;349:g6572. doi:10.1136/bmj.g6572
- 48 George L, Granath F, Johansson ALV, Cnattingius S. Self-reported nicotine exposure and plasma levels of cotinine in early and late pregnancy. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2006;85:1331-7. doi:10.1080/00016340600935433
- 49 Richards JL, Kramer MS, Deb-Rinker P, et al. Temporal Trends in Late Preterm and Early Term Birth Rates in 6 High-Income Countries in North America and Europe and Association With Clinician-Initiated Obstetric Interventions. *JAMA* 2016;316:410-9. doi:10.1001/ jama.2016.9635

- 50 Marsál K, Persson P-H, Larsen T, Lilja H, Selbing A, Sultan B. Intrauterine growth curves based on ultrasonically estimated foetal weights. *Acta Paediatr* 1996;85:843-8. doi:10.1111/j.1651-2227.1996.tb14164.x
- 51 White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. Multiple imputation using chained equations: Issues and guidance for practice. *Stat Med* 2011;30:377-99. doi:10.1002/sim.4067
- 52 NICE. Developmental follow-up of children and young people born preterm. NICE guidelines, 2017. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ ng72 Accessed 9 Aug 2023.
- 53 Svenska Neonatalföreningen. Nationella riktlinjer for uppfoljning av neonatala riskbarn, 2015. The Swedish Neonatal Association. National guidelines for the follow-up of neonatal risk children 2015. https://neo.barnlakarforeningen.se/wp-content/uploads/ sites/14/2014/03/Nationella-Uppfoljningsprogrammet-2015.pdf Accessed 23 Oct 2023.
- 54 Statistics Sweden. Evaluation of the Patient Register. 2022. https://www.socialstyrelsen.se/globalassets/sharepointdokument/artikelkatalog/statistik/2022-2-7767.pdf Accessed 9 Aug 2023.
- 55 Chen R, Sjölander A, Johansson S, et al. Impact of gestational age on risk of cerebral palsy: unravelling the role of neonatal morbidity. *Int J Epidemiol* 2022;50:1852-63. doi:10.1093/ije/ dyab131
- 56 Helle E, Andersson S, Häkkinen U, Järvelin J, Eskelinen J, Kajantie E. Morbidity and Health Care Costs After Early Term Birth. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2016;30:533-40. doi:10.1111/ppe.12321
- 57 Sjölander A, Zetterqvist J. Confounders, Mediators, or Colliders: What Types of Shared Covariates Does a Sibling Comparison Design Control For?*Epidemiology* 2017;28:540-7. doi:10.1097/ EDE.00000000000649
- 58 Lindström K, Winbladh B, Haglund B, Hjern A. Preterm infants as young adults: a Swedish national cohort study. *Pediatrics* 2007;120:70-7. doi:10.1542/peds.2006-3260
- 59 Socialstyrelsen Statistics Sweden. Statistikdatabas för graviditeter, förlossningar och nyfödda. https://sdb.socialstyrelsen.se/ if_mfr_004/val.aspx.
- 60 Raju TNK. The "Late Preterm" Birth-Ten Years Later. Pediatrics 2017;139:e20163331. doi:10.1542/peds.2016-3331
- 61 Ananth CV, Friedman AM, Gyamfi-Bannerman C. Epidemiology of moderate preterm, late preterm and early term delivery. *Clin Perinatol* 2013;40:601-10. doi:10.1016/j.clp.2013.07.001
- 62 Kirby RS, Wingate MS. Late preterm birth and neonatal outcome: is 37 weeks' gestation a threshold level or a road marker on the highway of perinatal risk?*Birth* 2010;37:169-71. doi:10.1111/ i.1523-536X.2010.00399.x
- 63 Razaz N, Muraca GM, Fink K, et al. Time of delivery among low-risk women at 37-42 weeks of gestation and risks of stillbirth and infant mortality, and long-term neurological morbidity. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2022;36:577-87. doi:10.1111/ ppe.12868
- 64 Johnson S, Waheed G, Manktelow BN, et al. Differentiating the Preterm Phenotype: Distinct Profiles of Cognitive and Behavioral Development Following Late and Moderately Preterm Birth. *J Pediatr* 2018;193:85-92.e1. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.10.002

Supplementary information: Additional figures A and B and tables A-I